# Introduction to Reed-Solomon Codes[1] 

Yunghsiang S. Han

Graduate Institute of Communication Engineering,
National Taipei University
Taiwan
E-mail: yshan@mail.ntpu.edu.tw

## Reed-Solomon Codes Construction (1)

- The first construction of Reed-solomon (RS) codes is simply to evaluate the information polynomials at all the non-zero elements of finite field $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$.
- Let $\alpha$ be a primitive element in $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ and let $n=q^{m}-1$.
- Let $u(x)=u_{0}+u_{1} x+\cdots+u_{k-1} x^{k-1}$ be the information polynomial, where $u_{i} \in G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$.
- The encoding is defined by the mapping $\rho: u(x) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{v}$ by

$$
\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)=\left(u(1), u(\alpha), u\left(\alpha^{2}\right), \ldots, u\left(\alpha^{n-1}\right)\right) .
$$

- The RS code of length $n$ and dimensional $k$ over $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$ is the image under all polynomials in $G F\left(q^{m}\right)[x]$ of
degree less than or equal to $k-1$.
- The minimum distance of an $(n, k) \mathrm{RS}$ code is $d_{\text {min }}=n-k+1$. It can be proved by follows.
- Since $u(x)$ has at most $k-1$ roots, there are at most $k-1$ zero positions in each nonzero codeword. Hence, $d_{\text {min }} \geq n-k+1$. By the Singleton bound, $d_{\min } \leq n-k+1$. So $d_{\min }=n-k+1$.


## Reed-Solomon Codes Construction (2)

- The RS codes can be constructed by finding their generator polynomials.
- In $G F\left(q^{m}\right)$, the minimum polynomial for any element $\alpha^{i}$ is simply $\left(x-\alpha^{i}\right)$.
- Let $g(x)=\left(x-\alpha^{b}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{b+1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha^{b+2 t-1}\right)$ be the generator polynomial for the RS code. Since the degree of $g(x)$ is exactly equal to $2 t$, by the BCH bound, $n=q^{m}-1, n-k=2 t$, and $d_{\text {min }} \geq n-k+1$.
- Again, by the Singleton bound, $d_{\text {min }}=n-k+1$.
- Considering $G F(8)$ with the primitive polynomial
$x^{3}+x+1$. Let $\alpha$ be a root of $x^{3}+x+1$. Then $g(x)=(x-\alpha)\left(x-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{3}\right)\left(x-\alpha^{4}\right)=x^{4}+\alpha^{3} x^{3}+x^{2}+\alpha x+\alpha^{3}$ will generate a $(7,3) \mathrm{RS}$ code with $d_{\min }=2 \times 2+1=5$. The number of codewords of this code is $8^{3}=512$.


## Encoding Reed-Solomon Codes

- RS codes can be encoded just as any other cyclic code.
- The systematic encoding process is

$$
v(x)=u(x) x^{n-k}-\left[u(x) x^{n-k} \bmod g(x)\right]
$$

- Typically, the code is over $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ for some $m$. The information symbols $u_{i}$ can be formed by grabbing $m$ bits of data, then interpreting these as the vector representation of the $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ elements.


## Weight Distributions for RS Codes

- A code is called maximum distance separable (MDS) code when its $d_{\min }$ is equal to $n-k+1$. A family of well-known MDS nonbinary codes is Reed-Solomon codes.
- The dual code of any $(n, k)$ MDS code $\boldsymbol{C}$ is also an $(n, n-k)$ MDS code with $d_{\text {min }}=k+1$.
- It can be proved as follows: We need to prove that the ( $n, n-k$ ) dual code $\boldsymbol{C}^{\perp}$, which is generated by the parity-check matrix $\boldsymbol{H}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$, has $d_{\text {min }}=k+1$. Let $\boldsymbol{c} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{\perp}$ have weight $w, 0<w \leq k$. Since $w \leq k$, there are at least $n-k$ coordinates of $\boldsymbol{c}$ are zero. Let $\boldsymbol{H}_{s}$ be an $(n-k) \times(n-k)$ submatrix formed by any collection of $n-k$ columns of $\boldsymbol{H}$ in the above coordinates. Since the
row rank of $\boldsymbol{H}_{s}$ is less than $n-k$ and consequently the column rank is also less than $n-k$. Therefore, we have found $n-k$ columns of $\boldsymbol{H}$ are linear dependent which contradicts to the facts that $d_{\min }$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ is $n-k+1$ and then any combination of $n-k$ columns of $\boldsymbol{H}$ is linear independent.
- Any combination of $k$ symbols of codewords in an MDS code may be used as information symbols in a systematic representation.
- It can be proved as follows: Let $\boldsymbol{G}$ be the $n \times k$ generator matrix of an MDS code $\boldsymbol{C}$. Then $\boldsymbol{G}$ is the parity check matrix for $\boldsymbol{C}^{\perp}$. Since $\boldsymbol{C}^{\perp}$ has minimum distance $k+1$, any combination of $k$ columns of $\boldsymbol{G}$ must be linearly independent . Thus any $k \times k$ submatrix of $\boldsymbol{G}$ must be
nonsingular. So, by row reduction on $\boldsymbol{G}$, any $k \times k$ submatrix can be reduced to the $k \times k$ identity matrix.
- The number of codewords in a $q$-ary $(n, k)$ MDS code $\boldsymbol{C}$ of weight $d_{\text {min }}=n-k+1$ is

$$
A_{n-k+1}=(q-1)\binom{n}{n-k+1}
$$

- It can be proved as follows: Select an arbitrary set of $k$ coordinates as information positions for an information $\boldsymbol{u}$ of weight 1. The systemic encoding for these coordinates thus has $k-1$ zeros in it. Since the minimum distance of the code is $n-k+1$, all the $n-k$ parity check symbols must be nonzero. Since there are $\binom{n}{k-1}=\binom{n}{n-k+1}$
different ways of selecting the $k-1$ zero coordinates and $q-1$ ways of selecting the nonzero information symbols,

$$
A_{n-k+1}=(q-1)\binom{n}{n-k+1}
$$

- The number of codewords of weight $j$ in a $q$-qry $(n, k)$ MDS code is

$$
A_{j}=\binom{n}{j}(q-1) \sum_{i=0}^{j-d_{m i n}}(-1)^{i}\binom{j-1}{i} q^{j-d_{\min }-i}
$$
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