One-Sample Test for Proportion

CF Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

February 26, 2006

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

Approximated One-Sample Z Test for Proportion

In DM-TKR Data, there are 5 infective patients of total 78 patients, the sample proportion is 6.41% = 5/78. The infective probability in U.S. is about 1%. Does our sample differ from U.S. population?

- 1. π be infective probability in population
- 2. Random sample of n observations
- 3. X_i be random variables for each individual, i = 1, ..., n,

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{infection with probability } \pi, \\ 0, & \text{no infection with probability } 1 - \pi. \end{cases}$$

4. $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ has Binomial distribution (n, π)

There is quite a variety of hypotheses about the DM population infective probability π .

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

(1)

Hypothesis

- $H_0: \pi = \pi_0 = 0.01$,
- $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0.$

Test Statistics

The observable sample proportion

$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{Y}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n},\tag{2}$$

The sample distribution of the sample proportion $\hat{\pi}$

$$\hat{\pi} \sim N\Big(\pi, \frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)$$

The observed sample test statistic under H_0

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_0)}{\sqrt{(\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)/n)}} \sim N(0, 1)$$
(4)
(approximate distribution)

(It is called approximated Z test since it use the Z statistic.)

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

(3)

Testing Hypothesis: *Z* **Value Method**

The test statistic Z depends upon

1. The sample proportion $\hat{\pi}$

2. The hypothesized target general population proportion π

3. The population standard deviation, $\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}$.

If the null hypothesis H_0 is true, then the hypothesized population proportion $\pi_0 = 0.01$ is equal to the population proportion, π .

Testing Hypothesis: Z Value Method

- 1. Prescirbe Type I Error α
- 2. $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$ be the corresponding percentile from N(0,1) such tat $P(Z < Z_{\alpha}) = \alpha$
- 3. Under $H_0: \pi = \pi_0$, the observed test statistic

$$z = \frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_0)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)} / \sqrt{n}}.$$
(5)

Testing Hypothesis: Z Value Method

- 1. For two-sided alternative test, $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0$
- 2. Reject the H_0 when

 $|\mathsf{z}| > Z_{1-\alpha/2}.$

Critical Value and Critical Region Methods

Given the significant level α

$$P(|\mathsf{Z}| > Z_{1-\alpha/2}) = \alpha$$

$$P\left(\left|\frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right| > Z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) = \alpha$$

$$P\left(\hat{\pi} < \pi - Z_{1-\alpha/2}\frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{\pi} > \pi + Z_{1-\alpha/2}\frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Critical Value and Critical Region Methods

Under $H_0: \pi = \pi_0$, we choose the two **critical values** for the two-sided Z test are

$$c_{\alpha,1} = \pi_0 - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

and
$$c_{\alpha,2} = \pi_0 + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

We will reject the H_0 based on the **critical region** when $\hat{\pi} = \frac{y}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$

$$\hat{\pi} < c_{\alpha,1} = \pi_0 - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

or
$$\hat{\pi} > c_{\alpha,2} = \pi_0 + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

(6)

(7)

(8)

(9)

Confidence Interval Method

The two-sided $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval of the population proportion π based on the sample statistic $\hat{\pi}$, and the two-sided alternative hypothesis $H_A : \pi \neq \pi_0$, is

$$\begin{split} &P[|\mathsf{Z}| < Z_{1-\alpha/2}] = 1 - \alpha \\ &P\Big[\Big|\frac{\hat{\pi} - \pi}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}\Big| < Z_{1-\alpha/2}\Big] = 1 - \alpha \\ &P\Big[\Big|(\hat{\pi} - \pi)\Big| < Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] = 1 - \alpha \\ &P\Big[\pi > \hat{\pi} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] = 1 - \alpha \\ &\text{and} \quad \pi < \hat{\pi} + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] = 1 - \alpha. \end{split}$$

One Sample Test for Proportion, 11

Asymptotic Confidence Interval Method

The two-sided $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval of the population proportion π based on the sample statistic $\hat{\pi}$, is

$$\left(\hat{\pi} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \hat{\pi} + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$
(10)

We will reject the two-sided test when the two-sided $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval of the population does not contain the hypothesized population proportion π_0 under H_0 .

Asymptotic Confidence Interval Method

For $H_0: \pi = \pi_0$ versus $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0$, we will reject the H_0 when

$$\pi_0 < \hat{\pi} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}{\sqrt{n}},\tag{11}$$

or

$$\pi_0 > \hat{\pi} + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$
(12)

That is when the hypothesized proportion π_0 is below the lower or above the upper confident limit, we will reject H_0 .

Score (Wilson) Confidence Interval Method

For $H_0: \pi = \pi_0$ versus $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0$, we can calculate the C.I. based on the score confidence interval (Wilson's confidence interval) as

$$\left[\hat{\pi} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}} \,\hat{\pi} + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}\right]. \quad (13)$$

Likelihood Confidence Interval Method

1. For a sample proportion $\hat{\pi}$ based on n, we can find the value π_{0L} and π_{0R} for the null hypothesis parameters that lead to the test statistic value z

$$\frac{|\hat{\pi} - \pi_0|}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)/n}} = 1.96\tag{14}$$

and a two-sided p - value = 0.05.

- 2. By solving the equation for π_0 , this equation is quadratic in π_0 .
- 3. Alternative, one can determine the limits by trial and error.

p-Value Method

- 1. We have collected the data and the observed sample statistic is $\hat{\pi}$.
- 2. Consider the two-sided hypothesis

 $H_0: \pi = \pi_0$ versus $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0$.

3. The observed two-sided Z test sample statistic is

$$z = \frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_0)}{(\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)} / \sqrt{n})}.$$
(15)

- 4. The p-value is defined as
 - The *p*-value is the probability of obtaining a result as/or more extreme than you did by chance alone assuming the null hypothesis *H*₀ is true.

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

p-Value Method

The p-value for two-sided test is calculated as

$$P(|\overline{Y}| > |\overline{y}| | \pi = \pi_0)$$

$$= P\left(|\pi - \pi_0| > |\hat{\pi} - \pi_0| | \pi = \pi_0\right)$$

$$= P\left(\left|\frac{(\pi - \pi_0)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)}/\sqrt{n}}\right| > \left|\frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_0)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)}/\sqrt{n}}\right| | \pi = \pi_0\right)$$

$$= P(|Z| > |z| | \pi = \pi_0)$$

$$= 2[1 - P(Z \le |z| | \pi = \pi_0)]$$

$$= 2[1 - \Phi(|z|)],$$

We will reject the two-sided null hypothesis H_0 when p-value, $2[1 - \Phi(|z|)]$, is less than the significant level α .

In DM-TKR Data, there are 5 infective patients of total 78 patients, the sample proportion is 6.41% = 5/78. The infective probability in U.S. is about 1%. Do our sample differ from U.S. population?

1. We wish to test the null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis are

 $H_0: \pi = \pi_0 (= 0.01)$ versus $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0$.

- 2. We have collected the data.
- 3. The observed sample proportion ($\hat{\pi}$, test statistic) is 6.4%.
- 4. Let the significant level $\alpha = 0.05$, and $Z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.960$.

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

For two-sided test, the critical value (and critical region) for $\hat{\pi}$ is

$$\pi_0 - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.01 - 1.960 \times \frac{0.01 * 0.99}{\sqrt{78}}$$
$$= 0.01 + 1.960 \times 0.01127$$
$$= -0.0121$$

and

$$\pi_0 + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.01 + 1.960 \times \frac{0.01 * 0.99}{\sqrt{78}}$$
$$= 0.01 + 1.960 \times 0.01127$$
$$= 0.0322.$$

Critical values, $(c_{\alpha,1}, c_{\alpha,2})$, are (-0.0121, 0.0322).

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

We decide to reject the null hypothesis H_0 if

$$\hat{\pi} < -0.0121 = \pi_0 - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

or

$$\hat{\pi} > 0.0322 = \pi_0 + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Now the observed sample proportion $\hat{\pi} = 6.41\% > 0.0322$, so we reject the null hypothesis.

1. The observed sample test statistic, z, is calculated as

$$z = \frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_0)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)}/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{(0.0641 - 0.01)}{\sqrt{0.01 \cdot 0.09}/\sqrt{78}} = 4.80.$$

- 2. The observed sample test statistic, z, is 4.80 which is greater than the Z critical value, $Z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.960$.
- 3. So we reject the null hypothesis H_0 .

1. The two-sided $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for DM population proportion π based on the sample statistic, $\hat{\pi}$, can be calculated as

$$\left(\hat{\pi} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \hat{\pi} + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \frac{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

= (0.00975, 0.1185).

- 2. The $(1 \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for DM population proportion π is (0.00975, 0.1185).
- 3. This interval does contain $\pi_0 = 0.01$.
- 4. So we do not reject the null hypothesis H_0 .

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

1. The p-value based on the observed sample test statistic, z = 4.80, can be calculated as

$$2[1 - P(\hat{\pi} > |\bar{x}|) = 2[1 - \Phi(|z|)] = \Phi(4.80) < 0.0001.$$

- 2. The *p*-value, < 0.0001, is less than the significant level $\alpha = 0.05$.
- 3. So we reject the null hypothesis.

- > y<-5; n<-78 # assign y and n in binomial
- > alpha<-0.05 # assign significant level alpha</pre>
- > pihat<-y/n # sample proportion</pre>
- > pihat
- [1] 0.06410256
- > qihat<-1-pihat
- > se0<-sqrt(pi0*(1-pi0)/n) # s.e. under H0</pre>
- > se1<-sqrt(pihat*qihat/n) # s.e. Under HA</pre>
- > Z1alpha<-qnorm(1-alpha/2) # Z_{1-alpha/2} quantile</pre>
- > ztest<-(pihat-pi0)/se0 # sample Z test statistic</pre>
- > ztest

[1] 4.802281

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

- > crit1<-pi0-Z1alpha*se0 # critical vale c_{alpha,1}</pre>
- > crit2<-pi0+Z1alpha*se0 # critical value c_{alpha,2}</pre>
- > crit1
- [1] -0.01208098
- > crit2
- [1] 0.03208098
- > Z.CI.L<-pihat-Z1alpha*se1 # C.I. Lower</pre>
- > Z.CI.U<-pihat+Z1alpha*se1 # C.I. Upper</pre>
- > Z.CI.L
- [1] 0.009745922
- > Z.CI.U
- [1] 0.1184592

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

Power of One-sample Z Test for Proportion

- 1. The first thing is to decided the possible π value under H_A , since different π value under H_A will have different power.
- 2. Suppose in the DM-TKR example, we have $\pi_0 = 0.01$ and $\pi = \pi_A = 0.005$ or $\pi_A = 0.05$ under H_A .
- 3. What is power of the two-sided test?

power = $P(\text{reject } H_0 \mid H_A \text{ is true})$

4. Power is the probability of making the correct decision when the null hypothesis is not true. Specially,

power
$$= 1 - \beta = P(\text{reject } H_0 : \pi = \pi_0 \mid H_A \text{ is true}).$$

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

One Sample Test for Proportion, 27

If $H_A: \pi = \pi_A < \pi_0$ is true, then we will reject H_0 when

$$\hat{\pi} < c_{\alpha} = \pi_0 - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0 (1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{16}$$

or

$$\frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}} < \frac{(\pi_0 - Z_{1 - \alpha/2}(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}) - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}}.$$
 (17)

If $H_A: \pi = \pi_A < \pi_0$ is true, $= 1 - \beta = P(\hat{\pi} < c_{\alpha} \mid \pi = \pi_A)$ (18)power $= P\left(\hat{\pi} < \pi_0 - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_0}} \mid \pi = \pi_A\right)$ (19) $= P\left(\mathsf{Z} = \frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}} < \frac{(\pi_0 - Z_{1-\alpha/2}(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}) - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}} \mid \pi = \pi_A\right)$ $= P\left(\mathsf{Z} < \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1-\pi_A)}} \left(-Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{(\pi_0-\pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/n}}\right) \mid \pi = \pi_A\right)$ $= \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}\left(-Z_{1-\alpha/2}+\frac{(|\pi_0-\pi_A|)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/m}}\right)\right).$ (20)

If $H_A: \pi = \pi_A > \pi_0$ is true, then we will reject H_0 when

$$\hat{\pi} > c_{\alpha} = \pi_0 + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}},\tag{21}$$

or

$$\frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{(\pi_0 + Z_{1 - \alpha/2}(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}) - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}}.$$

(22)

If $H_A: \pi = \pi_A > \pi_0$ is true, $= 1 - \beta = P(\hat{\pi} > c_{\alpha} \mid \pi = \pi_A)$ (23)power $= P(\hat{\pi} > \pi_0 + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_0}} \mid \pi = \pi_A)$ (24) $= P\left(\mathsf{Z} = \frac{(\hat{\pi} - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{(\pi_0 + Z_{1-\alpha/2}(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1 - \pi_0)}}{\sqrt{n}}) - \pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)}/\sqrt{n}} \mid \pi = \pi_A\right)$ $= P\left(\mathsf{Z} > \frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1-\pi_A)}} \left(+ Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{(\pi_0-\pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/n}} \right) \mid \pi = \pi_A \right)$ $= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1-\pi_A)}}\left(+Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{(\pi_0-\pi_A)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/n}}\right)\right)$ $= \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_1(1-\pi_1)}}\left(-Z_{1-\alpha/2}+\frac{(|\pi_0-\pi_A|)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/n}}\right)\right).$ (25)

For one-sided test, we use $Z_{1-\alpha}$ instead of $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$.

So the power of the two-sided test $H_0: \pi = \pi_0$ versus $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0$ for the specific alternative $\pi = \pi_A$, where the underlying distribution is approximately normal and the population variance σ^2 is estimated as $\pi_A(1 - \pi_A)$, is given exactly by

power =
$$\Phi\left[\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1-\pi_A)}}\left(-Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{(|\pi_0-\pi_A|)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/n}}\right)\right]$$
. (26)

- 1. The power formula has nothing to do with observed sample statistic z, however, the power depends on π_A and its variance $\pi_A(1 \pi_A)$.
- 2. If we consider $H_A : \pi = \hat{\pi}$, that is, we calculated the power after the study, this is sometime called **post-hoc power**. It is not recommend by many statisticians.
- 3. For one-sided test, we use $Z_{1-\alpha}$ (instead of $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$).

1. Suppose $H_A: \pi = \pi_A$, for example, $\pi_A = 0.05$. We have power

$$\Phi\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1-\pi_A)}}\Big(\frac{(|\pi_0-\pi_A|)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/n}}\Big)\Big) = 0.76612.$$

2. If we assume H_A : $\pi_A = 0.09$, then the power is

$$\Phi\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)}}{\sqrt{\pi_A(1-\pi_A)}}\Big(-Z_{1-\alpha/2}+\frac{(|\pi_0-\pi_A|)}{\sqrt{\pi_0(1-\pi_0)/n}}\Big)\Big)=0.9630.$$

DM-TKA Example

The power depends on the variance of π_A , so the direction of power of the approximate Z test for proportion is not as clear as normal distribution.

Note: the power formula has nothing to do with observed sample statistic z. If we consider $H_A : \pi = \hat{\pi}$, that is, we calculated the power after the study, this is sometime called **post-hoc power**. It is not recommend by many statisticians.

```
# two-tailed power
>
> power.prop.two.sided.Z.test<-function(pi0,pia,alpha,n)
    { power<-sqrt((pi0*(1-pi0))/(pia*(1-pia)) )</pre>
        *(-qnorm(1-alpha/2)
        +abs(pi0-pia)*sqrt(n)/sqrt(pi0*(1-pi0)))
    power<-pnorm(power)</pre>
    cat("power = ",power,"\n")
  }
> power.prop.two.sided.Z.test(0.01,0.05,0.05,78)
power = 0.7661206
> power.prop.two.sided.Z.test(0.01,0.09,0.05,78)
power = 0.9630652
```

DM-TKA Example: R

Exact Small-Sample Inference Exact Test for Proportion

Exact Test for Proportion

- 1. The approximate Z test procedure to test the hypothesis $H_0: \pi = \pi_0$ versus $H_A: \pi \neq \pi_0$ depends on the assumptions is only true if $n\pi_0(1-\pi_0) \geq 5$.
- 2. With modern computational power, it is not necessary to rely on large-sample approximation for the distribution of statistics such as $\hat{\pi}$.
- 3. Tests and confidence intervals can use the binomial distribution directly rather than its normal approximation. Such inferences occur naturally for small samples, but apply for any *n*.

Exact Test for Proportion

1. We will base our test on exact binomial probabilities.

- 2. Let $Y \sim Bin(n, \pi_0)$ under H_0 .
- 3. Let $\hat{\pi} = y/n$, be the observed sample proportion.
- 4. The computation of the *p*-value depends on whether $\hat{\pi} \leq \pi_0$ or $\hat{\pi} \geq \pi_0$.

p-value of the Exact Test for Proportion

1. If $\hat{\pi} \leq \pi_0$, then

$$p - \text{value}/2 = P(Y \le y \text{ successes in } n \text{ trials} \mid H_0)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{y} {n \choose k} \pi_0^k (1 - \pi_0)^{n-k}$$
(28)

2. If $\hat{\pi} \geq \pi_0$, then

$$p - \text{value}/2 = P(Y \ge y \text{ successes in } n \text{ trials} \mid H_0)$$

$$= \sum_{k=y}^n \binom{n}{k} \pi_0^k (1 - \pi_0)^{n-k}$$
(30)

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

p-value of the Exact Test for Proportion

1. If $\hat{\pi} \leq \pi_0$, then

$$p - \text{value} = 2 \times P(Y \le y)$$
(31)
= min $\left[2 \sum_{k=0}^{y} {n \choose k} \pi_0^k (1 - \pi_0)^{n-k}, 1 \right]$ (32)

2. If $\hat{\pi} \geq \pi_0$, then

$$p - \text{value} = 2 \times P(Y \ge y)$$
(33)
= min $\left[2 \sum_{k=y}^{n} {n \choose k} \pi_0^k (1 - \pi_0)^{n-k}, 1 \right]$ (34)

p-value of the Exact Test for Proportion

We illustrate by testing $H_0: \pi = 0.5$ against $H_A: \pi \neq 0.5$ for the survey results, y = 0, with n = 25. We noted that the score statistic equals z = -5.0. The exact *p*-value for this statistic, based on the null Bin(25, 0.5) distribution, is

$$P(|z| \ge 5.0) = P(Y = 0 \text{ or } Y = 25) = 0.5^{25} + 0.5^{25} = 0.00000006.$$

C.I. of the Exact Test for Proportion

- 1. The exact $100(1 \alpha)$ % confidence intervals consists of all π for which *p*-values exceed α in exact binomial tests.
- 2. The best known interval (**Clopper and Person**, 1934) uses the tail method for forming confidence intervals.
- 3. It requires each one-sided *p*-value to exceed $\alpha/2$.

C.I. of the Exact Test for Proportion

The lower and upper endpoints are the solutions in π_0 to the equations

$$\sum_{k=y}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \pi_{0}^{k} (1-\pi_{0})^{n-k} = \alpha/2$$

and
$$\sum_{k=0}^{y} \binom{n}{k} \pi_{0}^{k} (1-\pi_{0})^{n-k} = \alpha/2,$$
 (35)

except that the lower bound is 0 when y = 0 and the upper bound is 1 when y = n.

C.I. of the Exact Test for Proportion

1. The **Clopper and Person** confidence interval equals

$$\left[1 + \frac{n - y + 1}{y F_{2y,2(n - y + 1),\alpha/2}}\right]^{-1} < \pi < \left[1 + \frac{n - y + 1}{(y + 1) F_{2(y + 1),2(n - y),(1 - \alpha/2)}}\right]^{-1}, \quad (36)$$

where $F_{a,b,c}$ denotes the *c* quantile form the *F* distribution with degrees of freedom *a* and *b*.

2. Example,

When y = 0 with n = 25, the **Clopper-Pearson** 95% **confidence interval** for π is (0, 0.137).

DM-TKA Example: Exact Test

The exact $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confident interval is (0.0211, 0.1433). The exact two-sided test *p*-value is $0.001152 < \alpha = 0.05$ (SAS: p = 0.0023). We reject the null hypothesis H_0 based on the exact confidence interval and *p*-value.

DM-TKA Example: Exact Test with R binom.test

Exact binomial test

data: 5 and 78

```
number of successes=5, number of trials=78, p-value=0.001152 alternative hypothesis:
```

true probability of success is not equal to 0.01

95 percent confidence interval:

0.02113972 0.14328760

sample estimates:

probability of success

0.06410256

DM-TKA Example: Exact C.I. and Asymptotic C.I. with R

- > library(Hmisc)
- > help(binconf)
- > binconf(x=5,n=78,alpha=0.05,method="all")

	PointEst	Lower	Upper
Exact	0.06410256	0.021139720	0.1432876
Wilson	0.06410256	0.027689315	0.1414360
Asymptotic	0.06410256	0.009745922	0.1184592

DM-TKA Example: R

> help(prop.test)

1-sample proportions test without continuity correction data: 5 out of 78, null probability 0.01 X-squared = 23.0619, df = 1, p-value = 1.569e-06

alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.01

95 percent confidence interval:

0.02768932 0.14143595

sample estimates:

р

0.06410256

© Jeff Lin, MD., PhD.

DM-TKA Example: Exact Test with R

Warning message:

Chi-squared approximation may be incorrect in:

prop.test(x=5, n=78, p=0.01,alternative=c("two.sided"),

```
title "FREQ: One-sample Z test
    for proportion with 95% C.I.";
proc freq data=dmtakcsv order=data;
    exact binomial;
    tables infect / bin( p=0.01);
```

run;

```
title "Categroical Data:
    Graphics of One-sample";
proc gchart data=dmtakcsv ;
    vbar infect / discrete ;
    hbar infect / discrete ;
    pie infect / discrete ;
    pie infect / discrete
     explode=1 slice=arrow percent=inside ;
```

run;

The FREQ Procedure

			Cumulative	Cumulative
infect	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
1	5	6.41	5	6.41
0	73	93.59	78	100.00

Binomial Proportion for infect = 1 Proportion (P) 0.0641 ASE 0.0277 95% Lower Conf Limit 0.0097 95% Upper Conf Limit 0.1185

Exact Conf Limits

95% Lower Conf Limit 0.0211

95% Upper Conf Limit 0.1433

Test of HO: Proportion = 0.01

ASE under HO	0.0113
Z	4.8023
One-sided Pr > Z	<.0001
Two-sided Pr > Z	<.0001

Exact Test

One-sided $Pr \ge P$ 0.0012

Two-sided = 2 * One-sided 0.0023

Sample Size = 78